

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,685	10/28/2003	Alex Harwit	WHB-101/US	5054	
30869	7590 02/23/2006		EXAM	INER	
	TELLECTUAL PROPER' STREET, 2ND FLOOR	SISSON, BRADLEY L			
), CA 94306	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1634	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/695,685		HARWIT ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Bradley L. Si	sson	1634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS (a). In no event, I apply and will excause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
′=		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non	-final.					
3)	·								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
·	on Papers		,						
	•	. Eveminer							
•	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			abjected to by the [Everniner				
10)[* `'	•—	· · · · ·	•					
	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			-		ED 1 101/d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		-			, ,			
	•	by the Exa	immer. Note	the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL P	10-132.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		·	• , ,	-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
				• •		04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•	•		ed in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the Internatio		•	` ''					
3	See the attached detailed Office actio	ii ioi a iist 0	i uie ceruiie	a copies not receive	u.				
Attachmen					(DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			Notice of Informal P		D-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/695,685 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 435, subclass 287.2.
- II. Claims 14-30, drawn to a method of hybridization, classified in class 204, subclass 458.
- III. Claim 31, drawn to a method of dissociating molecules, classified in class 204, subclass 457.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another method, such as that of Group III.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another method such as that of Group II.
- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are comprised of different method steps and result in different end products.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,685 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 7. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,685 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0751.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner

B. L. Sinon

Art Unit 1634

BLS

20 February 2006